

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/864,836	05/24/2001	Hideyuki Ishikawa	SHC0127	4999
75	90 10/28/2003		EXAMINER	
Micheal S. Gzybowski			REICHLE, KARIN M	
Butzel Long 350 Main Street	·		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
STE 300			3761	
Ann Arbor, MI 48104			DATE MAILED: 10/28/2003	15

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. O9/864,836 ISHIKAWA, HIDEYUKI Examiner Karin M. Reichle 3761 --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -THE REPLY FILED 17 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a

Therefo final rej condition	EPLY FILED 17 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Fre, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a ection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued ation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) 🛛	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) 🗌	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
have been 37 CFR 1 (b) above,	nsions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee if filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under .17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. 🗌 🛮 A	Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in
3	7 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. X	he proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) l	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) [they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) [they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) [they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.
3. 🗌 A	opplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
	lewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
	he a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
	he affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly aised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
	or purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) \boxtimes will not be entered or b) \square will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
Ţ	he status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
(Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected: 1-9.
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
	The proposed drawing e orrection filed on <u>∿প্ৰবৃ</u> প্ত is a)⊠ approved or b)□ disapproved by the Examiner.
	lote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
	Other:
	K.M. Reichte

Karin M. Reichle Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3761

Application No.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/864,836





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendments to the claims raises new issues, e.g. see claim 1, line 14, i.e. "continuous fiber fibers" and lines 16-18 are now inconsistent with the last two lines of claim 1, and does not overcome all the issues set forth in the FINAL, i.e. see paragraph 7 of the FINAL and the last two lines of claim 1.